

AGENDA MANAGEMENT SHEET

Name of Committee **Community Protection Overview & Scrutiny Committee**
Date of Committee **15 January 2008**
Report Title **LAA Six Monthly Performance**
Summary Reporting on the performance of the LAA over the first six months

For further information please contact:

Nick Gower Johnson	Julie Sullivan
County Partnerships Manager	Tel: (01926) 746804
Performance & Development	juliesullivan@warwickshire.gov.uk
Tel: 01926 - 412053	
nickgowerjohnson@warwickshire.gov.uk	

Would the recommendation decision be contrary to the Budget and Policy Framework? [please identify relevant plan/budget provision]

Background papers None

CONSULTATION ALREADY UNDERTAKEN:- Details to be specified

- Other Committees
- Local Member(s)
- Other Elected Members
- Cabinet Member
- Chief Executive
- Legal
- Finance
- Other Chief Officers
- District Councils
- Health Authority

Police

Other Bodies/Individuals LAA 6 months Performance has been reported to the Public Service Board on 29th November 2007

FINAL DECISION

SUGGESTED NEXT STEPS:

Details to be specified

Further consideration by this Committee

To Council

To Cabinet

To an O & S Committee

To an Area Committee

Further Consultation

Agenda No

Community Protection Services Overview & Scrutiny Committee - 15 January 2008.

LAA Six Monthly Performance Report

Report of the Strategic Director of Performance & Development

Recommendation

That the Committee:

- a) Welcomes the comprehensive performance management information contained in this report
- b) Comments upon the progress made to date in relation to the Safer Communities block of the LAA and remedial actions suggested by the Block Leader in respect of those measures that are currently forecasted to miss target
- c) Makes initial comments on the indicators for the revised LAA

1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This report presents the Community protection Overview & Scrutiny Committee with the mid year (2nd quarter) update on the performance of the Local Area Agreement overall, paying particular attention to the performance of indicators relating to the Safer Communities Block.
- 1.2 The Performance Management process for collection of this data has recently been substantially revised in consultation with Performance Leads and it is now a more comprehensive process. The process is now aligned with the Warwickshire County Council corporate performance approach and has fully integrated the LPSA2 agreement.
- 1.3 For this quarter, as well as reporting the performance data the Block and Performance Leads were charged with providing information on remedial action, good news stories, as well as highlighting any changes to be considered as part of the Mid Year Review. This revised approach has worked well and is reflected in the comprehensive and robust performance information contained in this report. Performance leads have played a crucial role in co-ordinating performance data within their respective Blocks and will play a key part in the discussion on the Mid Year Review.

1.4 To facilitate exception-based reporting, when measuring performance against targets in 2007/08, a zero tolerance has been applied to all measures in the Local Area Agreement.

- 1.5 This report therefore presents the following performance information:
- Overall Summary of LAA Performance
 - Safer Communities Block performance summary, general LAA indicators plus LPSA2 Targets
 - Overview of the new LAA process
 - Detailed performance summary and remedial action (Appendix 1 & 2)
 - Good News Stories (Appendix 3)
 - Provisional Priorities for the new LAA (Appendix 4) (subject to further discussion and negotiation)

1.6 LAA pooled funding is predicted to be spent by 31 March 2008, with a marginal overspend forecast at £2,692. At 30 September 2007 there was an actual underspend of £633,746 in comparison to LAA pooled funding allocated to date. An underspend of £151,331 has occurred in the Safer Communities Block mainly due to the uncertainty caused by the reduction in pooled funding announced on 14 June 2007

Table 1 shows a summary of the mid-year position and the projected year-end outturn for the Safer Communities Block.

Table 1: Summary of Projected Variations

	Block	Position as at 30/09/07				Projected Outturn			
		Grant	Spend	Variation	Variation	Grant	Spend	Variation	Variation
		£'s	£'s	£'s	%	£'s	£'s	£'s	%
	Safer Communities Block	393,684	242,353	(151,331)	-38.4%	757,085	759,777	2,692	0.4%




2.0 Summary of LAA Performance

2.1 There are 188 measures within the Local Area Agreement and at mid-year for 2007/08 performance is reported for 155 of these. Of the total reported at mid-year, there are 24 LPSA2 targets.

2.2 The 33 indicators not reported this quarter are mainly due to data issues, indicators which are collected annually and indicators which are gathering baseline information via the LAA Survey in Spring 2008. These will be reported in subsequent quarters.

2.3 The performance at the mid-year point of 2007/08 is summarised in the table below against target:

Table 2: Overall Performance

	Mid-year (Qrt 2) Forecast compared to year end target [#]						Total	
	Mid year forecast to exceed target		Mid year forecast to meet target		Mid year forecast to miss target			
								
	Total no. of measures	%	Total no. of measures	%	Total no. of measures	%	Total no. of measures	%
Children & Young People	5	18%	14	52%	8	30%	27	100%
Safer Communities	6	35%	2	12%	9	53%	17 (8)*	100%
Stronger Communities	0	0%	2	50%	2	50%	4 (6)*	100%
Healthier Communities & Older People	10	30%	13	40%	10	30%	33 (7)*	100%
Economic Development & Enterprise	6	27%	9	41%	7	32%	22	100%
Climate Change & Environment	11	39%	7	25%	10	36%	28 (10)*	100%
LPSA targets	11	46%	3	12%	10	42%	24 (2)**	100%
OVERALL TOTAL	49	32%	50	32%	56	36%	155(33)	100%

* Outstanding

** Status needs to be verified

[#]NB Please note that although the standard LAA is measured against targets for the end of 2007/08 the decision has been taken to measure performance of the LPSA2 targets against the targets within the LPSA 2 agreement which have completion dates ranging from 2007 to the end of 2009.

3.0 Safer Communities Performance Summary

Although the BCS comparator crime target is unlikely to be met, all crime was showing a reduction at the end of quarter 2. Offences brought to justice have increased considerably and will more than meet the year-end target.

The number of incidents of domestic abuse has risen substantially since March 07 due to improvements in reporting and recording by the police. Data improvements have also been seen in the number of young people and older

people as victims of crime and in alcohol-related violence. This is a positive step in identifying the actual scale of the problems, and will lead to improvements in responses to address the issues and then to improved outcomes.

There has been an increase in the number of perpetrators of domestic abuse charged, going to court and convicted due to the setting up of specialist domestic violence courts in Warwickshire.

3.1 LPSA2 Performance Summary (incl. sub-measures)

Financial forecasts are made on the basis that no reward grant will be issued for measures which do not achieve 60% of the agreed target.

For measures which achieve above 60% of the target a percentage of the reward grant, equivalent to the performance is allocated, up to the 100% maximum i.e. A measure forecasting 75% of the agreed target will be allocated 75% of the reward grant.

Table 3: Overall Performance Summary & Reward Grant Forecast

LPSA ref	Project	Project officer(s)	Potential Reward Grant	Forecast Reward grant based upon current performance
1	Overall Crime	David Whitehouse	£2,210,620	£862,142
2	Youth Re-Offending	Diane Johnson	£814,440	£349,046*
3	Road Casualties	Estyn Williams	£1,163,480	£1,163,480**
4	Domestic Fires	Balbir Singh	£1,163,480	£1,146,034
	Total			

* Annual survey yet to be conducted so reward grant is not included in the prediction

**Seeking verification

The table below sets out the performance of the measures which together make up each of the respective LPSA2 targets

Table 4: Detailed Performance Summary (incl. sub-measures)

			Potential Reward Grant	Forecast Reward grant based upon current performance
1	Sa1	Overall Crime	£2,210,620	£862,142
	Sa1i	Number of violent offences in Warwickshire recorded by Warwickshire Police	£795,824	£0
	Sa1 ii	Number of burglary offences	£751,611	£751,611
	Sa1 iii	Number of thefts of motor vehicles	£552,655	£0
	Ss1 iv	Number of thefts from motor vehicles	£110,531	£110,531

2	Sa2	Youth Re-Offending	£814,440	£349,046*
	Sa2i	The % of Young People who re-offend within 12 months based on the cohort identified between Oct 1st to Dec 31 st 07/08	£232,697	N/a
	Sa2 ii	The % of Young People who re-offend within 12 months based on the cohort identified between Oct 1st to Dec 31 st 08/09	£232,697	N/a
	Sa 2iii	Increase the number of parents receiving targeted support from YOT	£232,697	£232,697
		Increase parents satisfaction rate with this service		
	Sa 2iv	Increase the number of victims participating in a restorative process	£116,349	£116,349
Sa2i	Increase victims satisfaction rate with this service			
3	Sa 10	Road Casualties		
	Sa 10i	Improve Road Safety BV99a(I) People killed or seriously injured	£1,163,480	£1,163,480
4	Sa9	Domestic Fires	£1,163,480	£1,146,034
	Sa9ii	To reduce the number of deliberate secondary fires occurring on grassland, in refuse containers, outdoor structures, derelict property/vehicle and international straw.	£349,046	£331,594
	Sa9iii	To reduce the number of deliberate primary vehicle fires	£465,394	£465,394
	Sa 9iv	To reduce the number of deliberate primary property fires - including garages, sheds and caravans, buildings - including those under construction, deliberate non-domestic (commercial) property fires and deliberate fires in educational establishments	£349,046	£349,046

Further information on current performance and planned remedial action is contained in Appendix 2 of this report.

4.0 New LAA Process

Whilst we are reasonably content with progress to date, the delivery arrangements for the LAA have now been overshadowed by the requirement of us to establish a new LAA by June 2008 based on the 198 indicators appearing in the National Indicator Set published by CLG in November 2007. Initially, this requirement caused some frustration and instability across the LAA Blocks and within the PSB.

However, we have now reached the stage whereby the partnership considers that this should be seen as an opportunity to bring a greater sense of priority, focus and coherence across the breadth of LAA and partnership activity and have agreed processes for the development of the new LAA as follows:

- By mid January 2008 - each of the current Blocks to have considered their preferred indicators for inclusion in the new LAA
- Third week of January 2008 – Additional meeting of the Public Service Board to consider and agree indicators for inclusion within the new LAA

- By the end of January 2008 – submission to Government Office West Midlands of draft outcome framework for the new LAA
- By the end of March 2008 – detailed fully worked up draft LAA to have been completed and submitted to Government Office West Midlands

This comprehensive review of the progress to date in relation to the current LAA has given the partnership the opportunity of:

- Assessing the latest progress towards the individual outcomes in LAA
- Addressing any gaps in outcomes, indicators, baselines, targets, milestones and data and any actions needed to address under-performance
- Highlighting good practice and identifying what difference the introduction of the LAA has made to date
- Informing the priorities for new style 2008 LAA and start the process of thinking about the priorities to be included

5.0 Elected Member involvement in the development of the new LA

Members will have noted from Paragraph 4 of this report that, by the end of January 2008, a provisional list of the indicators to be included in the new LAA relating to Safer Communities should have been considered both by the Safer Communities Theme Group and by the Public Service Board.

We would hope to be able to share this provisional list of indicators with the Committee at the meeting to gain the preliminary views of members. In any event, we would suggest that the matter is considered in greater detail at the next meeting of this Committee on 26th February 2008 so that the Committee's views can be gained and reported on in full to subsequent meetings of the Cabinet and Council relating to the overall contents of the new LAA.




David Carter
Strategic Director of Performance and Development
December 2008

Shire Hall
Warwick

Appendix 1: Local Area Agreement Indicators – 2nd Quarter 2007/08

Key

Target Symbols

	Year end forecast to exceed target
	Year end forecast to meet target
	Year end forecast to miss target (See remedial action section)

Definitions

1	Aim of the measures i.e. Whether a higher or lower value is best (High, Low or Target)	4	Quarter 1 Year End Forecast (A) as submitted by the Performance and Block Lead
2	Frequency that data for the indicator is collected e.g. annually, quarterly, monthly	5	Quarter 2 year end forecast for 2007/08 (A) (based on period April – September) NB. In some cases this will be an actual figure
3	Year end actual for 2006/07 as submitted by the Performance and Block Lead	6	End of year target for 2007/08 as agreed with Government and published in the LAA
		7	Alert - Quarter 2 year end forecast (A) compared to the End of year target for 2007/08 (C) – attach appropriate symbol

Reference	This will be the LAA reference.
Description	An abbreviated description of the indicator – a full version is in the LAA Document
Lead Officer	Details of the officer responsible for reporting on this indicator
Aim	Shows whether bigger or smaller or on target is the best result for the measure
Frequency	Frequency that data for the indicator is collected e.g. annually, quarterly, monthly
Base line 2006/07	Base line information 2006/07 submitted in the LAA
Qtr 1 YE Forecast	Qtr 1 year end forecast – Submitted by performance Leads in July 2007
Qtr 2 Year end forecast	Forecast of final outturn for 2007/08 based upon performance between April and Sept. NB To minimise the amount of blanks where there are annual figures, and to avoid confusion in the PSB please supply forecast based on current experience or explain when the data will be available. Actions on milestones can be recorded separately in section 4.
End of Year target	Target for the end of 2007/08 performance, as set in the LAA NB. There is an opportunity to flag up any changes to the targets for measures which appear in the current LAA document, for consideration as part of the six month review process – please see section 5
Qtr 2 YE Forecast against end of year target	This is a straight comparison between your Forecast year end performance and the previously agreed End of Year target using the stars, triangle and circles symbols. If a Red triangle is used remedial action will need to be recorded in the separate table in section 3.

SAFER COMMUNITIES

Block Lead: Andy Parker, Deputy Chief Constable, Warwickshire Police

Performance Lead: Julie Sullivan WCC

Indicators					2006/07	2007/08			
					Baseline ³	Qtr 1 Year End Forecast ⁴	Current Performance		
							Qtr 2 Year End Forecast ⁵ (A)	End of Year Target ⁶ (B)	Qtr 2 Year End Forecast against end of year target ⁷ (A) v (B)
Ref	Description	Lead officer	Aim ¹	Frequency ²					
Sa1	Overall Crime								
Sa1i	BCS Crime Rates: Of which:	David Whitehouse, Police	Lower	Daily	28519	N/A	25150	23981	▲
Sa1ii	Offences brought to justice	David Whitehouse, Police	Higher	quarterly	10384	N/A	12535	11000	★
Sa2	Re- Offending								
Sa2i – Sa2iii	LPSA 2 TARGET See Section 2								
Sa2iv	Youth Justice System	Diane Johnson	Lower	annual	619	N/A	420	575	▲
Sa2v	Recorded Conviction	Karen Bonham, LCJB	Low	Bi-annual	246	N/A	37	209	★
Sa2vi	Adult Offenders re-offending	Andy Wade, Probation	Higher	quarterly	61%	N/A	70%	63.5%	★
Sa3	Domestic Violence								
Sa3i	Reported Incidents	Penny Kay	Higher	Monthly	3945	N/A	7667	4042	★
Sa3ii	Charged, going to court and convicted	Penny Kay	Higher	Monthly	250	N/A	287	263	★
Sa3iii	Repeat perpetrators – DV	Penny Kay	Lower	Monthly	771	N/A	3324	732	▲

SAFER COMMUNITIES

Block Lead: Andy Parker, Deputy Chief Constable, Warwickshire Police

Performance Lead: Julie Sullivan WCC

Indicators					2006/07	2007/08			
					Baseline ³	Qtr 1 Year End Forecast ⁴	Current Performance		
							Qtr 2 Year End Forecast ⁵ (A)	End of Year Target ⁶ (B)	Qtr 2 Year End Forecast against end of year target ⁷ (A) v (B)
Ref	Description	Lead officer	Aim ¹	Frequency ²					
Sa3iv	Young people living with domestic violence	Penny Kay	Lower	Monthly	1200	N/A	1200	1140	▲
Sa4	Fear of Crime								
Sa4i	Fear of Crime	Observatory	Lower	annual	50%	N/A	48%	48%	●
Sa4ii	Victims who feel supported	Earl Chilton	Higher	annual	95%	N/A	97%	97%	●
Sa4iii	Young people – victims of crime	Hugh Disley	Lower		3408	N/A	3409	3338	▲
Sa4iv	Older people – victims of crime	Nick Stephens	Lower		2600	N/A	3359	2470	▲
Sa5	Hate Crime								
Sa5i	Race hate incidents reported	Arun Kang, WREP	Higher	quarterly	484	N/A	430	508	▲
Sa5ii	Repeat Perpetrators – hate crime	David Whitehouse	Lower	quarterly	25	N/A	N/A	24	N/A
Sa6	Respect and ASB								
Sa6i	Feeling informed – ASB	CDRPs	Higher	annual	23%	N/A	N/A	24%	N/A
Sa6ii	Parents taking responsibility for their children	Hugh Disley	Lower	annual	63%	N/A	N/A	62%	N/A

SAFER COMMUNITIES

Block Lead: Andy Parker, Deputy Chief Constable, Warwickshire Police

Performance Lead: Julie Sullivan WCC

Indicators					2006/07	2007/08			
					Baseline ³	Qtr 1 Year End Forecast ⁴	Current Performance		
Ref	Description	Lead officer	Aim ¹	Frequency ²			Qtr 2 Year End Forecast ⁵ (A)	End of Year Target ⁶ (B)	Qtr 2 Year End Forecast against end of year target ⁷ (A) v (B)
Sa6iii	Treating people with respect	Community Safety , WCC	Lower	annual	43%	N/A	N/A	42%	N/A
Sa6iv	Perception of ASB	CDRPs	Lower	annual	22%	N/A	N/A	21%	N/A
Sa7	Harm Caused by Alcohol								
Sa7i	Moderate and serious alcohol dependency	Kit Leck	Higher	quarterly	492	N/A	N/A	615	N/A
Sa7ii	Young People and adults drinking harmfully	Kit Leck	Higher	quarterly	1072	N/A	N/A	1600	N/A
Sa7iii	Alcohol related violence	Kit Leck	Lower	quarterly	1897	N/A	3,100	1802	▲
Sa8	Harm Caused by Illegal Drugs								
Sa8i	Perceptions of local drug dealing and problems	Kit Leck	Lower	annual	19%	N/A	N/A	16.3%	N/A
Sa8ii	People entering treatment	Kit Leck	Higher	quarterly	1150	N/A	1,400	1500	▲
Sa9	Domestic Fires								
Sa9i	Home fire risk assessments	Balbir Singh	Higher	monthly	3350	N/A	2269	3350	★
Sa9ii -iv	LPSA 2 Target – see Section 2								
Sa10	LPSA 2 Target See Section 2								

Remedial Action Taken or Proposed for all 'Red' Indicators Safer Communities

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Indicator</i>	<i>Reason for Red Status</i>	<i>Remedial Action</i>	By Whom	By When
Sa1i	BCS crime rates	Increase in crime	Campaigns addressing the problems have resulted in recent substantial decreases over last months	Police and CDRPs	Ongoing
Sa2iv	Youth Justice system	Increase in number of young people entering criminal justice system	Looking at alternative methods of tackling youth crime to divert from criminal justice system	YOT	Ongoing
Sa3iii	Repeat perpetrators of DV	Better reporting and recording of incidents by police has lead to a huge increase in the number of repeat perpetrators being recorded	This is actually what is required – positive encouragement to deal with repeat perpetrators. The target now needs to be changed.	Police and DA manager	Ongoing
Sa3iv	Young people living with domestic violence				
Sa4iii	Young people – victims of crime	Better data collection has increased the number of incidents - includes coverage of incidents where no age has been recorded – allocated proportionately	Targets may need to be reviewed	CYPF/Police	By Mar 08
Sa4iv	Older people – victims of crime	Better data collection has increased the number of incidents - includes coverage of incidents where no age has been recorded – allocated proportionately	Targets may need to be reviewed	Police	By Mar 08
Sa5i	Race hate incidents reported				
Sa7iii	Alcohol-related violence	Better reporting and recording of incidents by police has lead to a huge increase in the number of incidents recorded	This is actually what is required – positive encouragement to report incidents. The target now needs to be changed.	Police and DAAT	Ongoing
Sa8ii	People entering drug treatment	New service recently implemented. Some difficulties with encouraging people to come forward in some areas of the county	Service being promoted especially in those areas where take-up has been less than expected.	DAAT	By Mar 08

Appendix 2: Detailed performance incl. Remedial action, where applicable

LPSA 2 – Target 1		SAFER COMMUNITIES Block Lead: Andy Parker, Deputy Chief Constable, Warwickshire Police Performance Lead: Julie Sullivan WCC												
Ref	Indicator	Aim	Performance summary							Reward Grant summary				
			Baseline perf. at start of LPSA2	Cumulative perf. to date	Forecast of Actual performance at end of LPSA2 period (A)	Without LPSA 2		With LPSA 2		Potential Reward Grant	Forecast Reward grant based upon current performance (see A)			
						Target (B)	Status (A v B)	Target (C.)	Status (A v C)		% of potential reward grant	Value		
Sa1	Overall Crime Project Lead – David Whitehouse													
Sa1i	Number of violent offences in Warwickshire recorded by Warwickshire Police	Low	6,400	4175	8345	5941	▲	5811	▲	£795,824	0%	£0		
Sa1ii	Number of burglary offences	Low	2,665	1187	2375	2698	★	2524	★	£751,611	100%	£751,611		
Sa1iii	Number of thefts of motor vehicles	Low	1,918	866	1730	1793	★	1668	▲	£552,655	0%	£0		
Ss1iv	Number of thefts from motor vehicles	Low	4,724	1851	3702	4337	★	4235	★	£110,531	100%	£110,531		

Ref	Indicator	Reason for Red Status	Remedial Action	By Whom	By When
Sa1i	Number of violent offences in Warwickshire recorded by Warwickshire Police	Better reporting and recording of incidents, especially at a low level of crime, by police has lead to a increase in the number of incidents recorded	Campaigns being promoted in target areas (but increase also covers rise in incidents of DA) and some areas now starting to see downturn	Police and CDRPs	March 2008

LPSA 2 – Target 2			SAFER COMMUNITIES Block Lead: Andy Parker, Deputy Chief Constable, Warwickshire Police Performance Lead: Julie Sullivan WCC											
Ref	Indicator		Aim	Performance summary							Reward Grant summary			
				Baseline performance at start of LPSA2	Cumulative performance to date	Forecast of Actual performance at end of LPSA2 period (A)	Without LPSA 2		With LPSA 2		Potential Reward Grant	Forecast Reward grant based upon current performance (see A)		
							Target (B)	Status (A v B)	Target (C.)	Status (A v C)		% of potential reward grant	Value	
Sa2	Re-Offending Project lead – Diane Johnson											£814,440		
Sa2i	The % of Young People who re-offend within 12 months based on the cohort identified between Oct 1st to Dec 31st:	07/08	Low	40%	Annual	Annual	36.1%	Annual	35%	Annual	£232,697	Annual	N/a	
Sa2ii		08/09	Low	40%	Annual	Annual	34.3%	Annual	33.3%	Annual	£232,697	Annual	N/a	
Sa2iii	Increase the number of parents receiving targeted support from YOT		High	30	38	38	55	●	100	●	£232,697	100%	£232,697	
	Increase parents satisfaction rate with this service		High	0%	100	78%	75%	★	80%	★				
Sa2iv	Increase the number of victims participating in a restorative process		High	50 victims	55	34	60	★	75	★	£116,349	100%	£116,349	
Sa2i	Increase victims satisfaction rate with this service		High	0%	100%	83%	75%	★	85%	★				

LPSA 2 – Target 3		SAFER COMMUNITIES											
		Block Lead: Andy Parker, Deputy Chief Constable, Warwickshire Police Performance Lead: Julie Sullivan WCC											
Ref	Indicator	Lead officer	Performance summary							Reward Grant summary			
			Baseline performance at start of LPSA2	Cumulative performance to date	Forecast of Actual performance at end of LPSA2 period (A)	Without LPSA 2		With LPSA 2		Potential Reward Grant	Forecast Reward grant based upon current performance (see A)		
						Target (B)	Status (A v B)	Target (C.)	Status (A v C)		% of potential reward grant	Value	
Sa 10	Road Casualties Project lead – Estyn Williams												
Sa 10i	Improve Road Safety BV99a (I) People killed or seriously injured	Low	562	407	★	458	★	426	★	£1,163,480	100%	£1,163,480	

LPSA 2 – Target 4		SAFER COMMUNITIES											
Block Lead: Andy Parker, Deputy Chief Constable, Warwickshire Police Performance Lead: Julie Sullivan WCC													
Ref	Indicator	Aim	Performance summary							Reward Grant summary			
			Baseline performance at start of LPSA2	Cumulative performance to date	Forecast of Actual performance at end of LPSA2 period (A)	Without LPSA 2		With LPSA 2		Potential Reward Grant	Forecast Reward grant based upon current performance (see A)		
						Target (B)	Status (A v B)	Target (C.)	Status (A v C)		% of potential reward grant	Value	
Sa9	Domestic Fires Project lead – Balbir Singh										£1,163,480		£1,146,034
Sa9ii	To reduce the number of deliberate secondary fires occurring on grassland, in refuse containers, outdoor structures, derelict property/vehicle and international straw.	Low	1,542	747	1188	1502	★	1132	▲	£349,046	95%	£331,594	
Sa9iii	To reduce the number of deliberate primary vehicle fires	Low	606	174	363	618	★	458	★	£465,394	100%	£465,394	
Sa9iv	To reduce the number of deliberate primary property fires - including garages, sheds and caravans, buildings - including those under construction, deliberate non-domestic (commercial) property fires and deliberate fires in educational establishments	Low	213	65	129	190	★	170	★	£349,046	100%	£349,046	

Appendix 3: Good News Stories/Actions on Milestones

Safer Communities

<i>Ref</i>	<i>Indicator</i>	<i>Good News Story/Action on Milestone</i>
Sa3ii	DV Charged, going to court and convicted	Increase in the numbers being charged for DA offences – new DV court being set up this month to ensure fast-track approach to perpetrators of DA

Appendix 4: Priorities (Provisional) for the New LAA

Safer Block Proposed Priorities for 2008/09

Targets	Eligibility (See key below)	Priority Assessment (See key below)
NI 15 Serious violent crime rate	(a) to (h) Yes	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Significant problem 2) Extent of problem varies within the county. Aim is to 'narrow the gap' 3) Physical and emotional harm to victims, families and wider community 4) Domestic Abuse reporting/recording has increased 5) Public expect action particularly in relation to alcohol related violence
<p><u>NI 15</u> <u>Serious violent crime rate</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Domestic Abuse 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Significant problem 2) Extent of problem varies within the county. Aim is to 'narrow the gap' 3) Physical, emotional, and financial harm which affects, children, families and others. 4) Positive encouragement to report incidents of Domestic Abuse will increase the recorded incidents of violence 5) Very little public expectation due to the nature of the problem, confidentiality by agencies, etc.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alcohol related crime/ASB 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Significant problem across the county 2) Extent of problem varies within the county. Aim is to 'narrow the gap' 3) Fear of crime, people unwilling to visit certain areas during the evening, health problems associated with excessive alcohol consumption, financial impact of criminal damage/vandalism. 4) Often displaced from one area to another e.g. young people dispersed from one location will start meeting to drink in an alternative location. 5) Public expect agencies to deal with the problems.
NI 21 Dealing with local concerns about anti-social behaviour and crime by the local	(a) to (e) – Yes (f) - No	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) ASB is a significant problem (£150,000 represents minimum investment)

Targets	Eligibility (See key below)	Priority Assessment (See key below)
council and police	(g) to (h) - Yes	2) Extent of problem varies within county. Aim is to 'narrow the gap' 3) Fear of crime plus harm caused to individuals and neighbours 4) Further analysis required 5) Public expect ASB issues to be addressed
<u>NI 21</u> <i>'Concerns about crime by the local councils' (via CDRPs) and police.</i>		Note – Indicative funding for CDRPs See individual local assessments priorities below:
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Domestic Burglary 		1) Problem has reduced over the past year 2) Extent of problem varies within county. Aim is to 'narrow the gap' 3) Fear of crime increases where domestic burglaries are taking place. Crimes can involve: (i) Physical harm to victims and their homes, (ii) Psychological harm to victims, families and communities (iii) Financial harm to victims and the possibility of repeat victimisation. 4) Problem presently reducing due to action planning by agencies. 5) Public expect a rapid response to burglaries, especially from the police.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Theft of Motor Vehicle 		1) The scale of the problem has fluctuated over past year and is currently reducing 2) Extent of problem varies within county. Aim is to 'narrow the gap' 3) Causes financial problems for communities and transport difficulties for victims 4) Action plans have been put into operation when crimes have started to increase 5) Public expect a rapid response to theft of motor vehicles - especially from the police.

Targets	Eligibility (See key below)	Priority Assessment (See key below)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Theft from Motor Vehicle 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Has been a significant problem now reducing Extent of problem varies within county. Aim is to 'narrow the gap' Causes financial problems for communities and transport difficulties for victims Substantial reductions due to action plans, especially those action plans designed to tackle sat nav thefts. Public expect police advice and guidance.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Criminal Damage 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Significant problem across the county Extent of problem varies within county. Aim is to 'narrow the gap' Vandalism and graffiti affect fear of crime levels for individuals and communities Still increasing Public expectation that the problem will be tackled by agencies.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Safer Neighbourhood Team priorities 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Safer Neighbourhood Teams (SNTs) consult with communities they police in relation to local priorities. The most common concerns that have emerged so far are: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> ASB Road Safety Speeding The extent of the problems vary from one SNT to another Psychological, social and financial SNT consultations with the public are identifying different priorities in different areas The presence and engagement by SNTs will raise public expectations that problems will be addressed
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Other CDRP priorities Hate Crime Reducing Fear of Crime Reassuring the public 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Some crime and disorder problems are more of a problem in some CDRP areas than others Extent of problem varies within county. Aim is to 'narrow the gap' CDRP acknowledge harm being caused by 'Hate Crime' and also acknowledge that reducing the fear of crime and reassuring the public are CDRP priorities

Targets	Eligibility (See key below)	Priority Assessment (See key below)
		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 4) The nature and scale of problems do change 5) Having raised community safety concerns through the appropriate channels, the community have an expectation that those issues will be addressed by the appropriate agencies
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Beating Business Crime 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Significant problem in some areas of the county 2) Extent of problem varies within county. Aim is to 'narrow the gap' 3) Financial problems for businesses. Crime prevention work required to reduce risk of businesses relocating or closing down 4) Business Crime Advisors can react to emerging business crime trends and advise businesses accordingly, thus reducing the business crime 5) Expectations from business community for advice and guidance
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analytical Capability 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) In order to direct available resources to the most significant current problems and emerging problems using SARA process (within the rolling Strategic Assessment process), an analytical capability is vital. 2) Analysis will allow comparison between areas 3) An analytical capacity can reduce harm to communities by informing decisions in relation to allocation of available resources. 4) Analysts can track the frequent changes to crime and disorder patterns and inform strategic decision making. 5) Analysts can provide analysed information from public consultation which can in turn inform strategic decision making.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Safer Block finance and performance support 		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Absorbing the new workload created by the LAA does present problems 2) Compared with other community safety problems, this is not a major problem. 3) A lack of capacity could impact upon Safer Block progress and the ability of the Safer Block to react quickly to changing priorities. 4) The capacity issue is a growing problem due to the

Targets	Eligibility (See key below)	Priority Assessment (See key below)
		<p>increasing levels of consultation required with partners and the community as a result of the LAA process.</p> <p>5) The public would expect resources to be managed effectively and the decision making process to take account of community and agency views.</p>
NI 30 Re-offending rate of prolific and priority offenders	(a) to (e) - Yes (f) - No (g) - Yes (h) - No	<p>1) Significant impact upon crime levels</p> <p>2) Extent of problem varies within county. Aim is to 'narrow the gap'</p> <p>3) Physical, social and economical</p> <p>4) Further analysis required</p> <p>5) May not be a significant problem as far as the public are concerned.</p>
<p>NI 30 Re-offending rate of prolific and priority offenders</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Community Payback Supervision 		<p>1) In 2006/07, 672 offenders were sentenced to unpaid work in Warwickshire</p> <p>2) Data not collected for other counties</p> <p>3) Payback opportunities to the public may be lost and impact on re-offending may be reduced</p> <p>4) No data available at this stage</p> <p>5) The visible presence in communities of offenders making reparation is likely to be welcomed by the public.</p>
NI 33 Arson Incidents	(a) to (g) - Yes (h) ?	<p>1) Significant problem</p> <p>2) Extent of problem varies in different areas. Action plans have been put into place at priority locations by an arson task force</p> <p>3) Physical, psychological, social and economic</p> <p>4) New patterns emerge to which agencies need to have the resources and flexibility to react</p> <p>5) When offences of arson occur in a locality, the local community expect a speedy and effective response.</p>
NI 40 Drug Users in effective treatment	(a) to (e) - Yes (f) - No (g) - Yes (h) - Yes	<p>1) Police enforcement activity indicates a high level of illegal drug activity in certain areas</p> <p>2) Extent of problem varies in different areas. In some parts of Warwickshire the problems mirror those found in large inner cities. The aim is to 'narrow the gap'.</p> <p>3) Problematic drug use is the underlying cause in relation to many in crimes against persons and property. The number of drug related deaths is increasing.</p>

Targets	Eligibility (See key below)	Priority Assessment (See key below)
		4) Difficulty retaining people in treatment. Rise in use of crack and cocaine which if unchecked could result in a significant rise in crime. Access to services by young people, women, BME groups and those living in rural areas, needs to be improved. 5) Due to the impact that drugs misuse has on communities, the public have expectations in relation to enforcement/education and treatment.
NI 19 Rate of proven re-offending by young offenders	(a) to (h) - Yes	1) Significant problem across all areas 2) Extent of problem varies within county. Aim is to 'narrow the gap' 3) Physical, psychological, social and economical 4) The rate of re-offending has reduced but the reduction needs to be maintained 5) The public expect agencies to cooperate in deterring young offenders from re-offending.
NI 47 People killed or seriously injured in road traffic accidents	(a) to (g) - Yes (h) - No	1) Significant problem. 2) Road traffic accidents are more of a problem in some locations and areas 3) Death or serious injury 4) Road safety measures at identified locations can result in very significant reductions in death or serious injury 5) The presence and engagement by SNT's will raise public expectations that road safety problems will be addressed

Key: Draft Eligibility Criteria for New LAA Indicators	Key: Identification of priorities
a) Does the Indicator / Target appear in our current LAA and is it covered by the National Indicator set - if so is our current performance on track and likely to continue to be so? b) Are resources available to Indicator / Target via the proposed funding streams to be included in the Area based Grant? c) Does the Indicator / Target link directly to our Narrowing the Gap approach? d) Is baseline information available at a county, district and if possible locality level? e) Is the inclusion of the Indicator / Target supported by i) Block Theme groups and ii) District LSPs?	1) How big is the problem? 2) How big is the problem in the area compared to other areas? 3) What is the harm caused by the problem? 4) How is the problem changing over time? 5) To what extent do the public expect something to be done about the problem?

f) Is there the potential for the development and achievement of stretch targets – to support the development of Reward Targets?	
g) In all cases other than the statutory education 17 targets, does the Indicator/Target involve delivery by two or more agencies?	
h) Would the inclusion of the target be likely to enhance current levels of VCS activity in the LAA?	